

## ROGERIAN ARGUMENT PAPER RUBRIC

<p><b>REQUIREMENTS</b></p> <p><b>Format:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 15-20 pages</li> <li>2. Double spaced</li> <li>3. Engaging title</li> <li>4. Any visuals are used rhetorically well</li> <li>5. 8-10 peer reviewed sources, popular sources allowed as well; contextualize all sources</li> </ol>	<p>0-50% Does not meet requirements:</p>	<p>50-88% Mostly meets requirements; almost publish ready:</p>	<p>89-100% Could be submitted to consider for publication:</p>
<p><b>Content/Organization:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Neutral introduction to topic using first person, imagery and helps audience connect to you</li> <li>2. Has a clear topic with multiple perspectives central to the paper</li> <li>3. The first section of the paper clearly, fairly and empathetically expounds on the perspective opposite to the author's on the topic, using both relevant narrative and research</li> <li>4. The second section of the paper explains the author's viewpoint in a connecting rather than polarizing way using both narrative and research</li> <li>5. The conclusion of the paper draws upon common ground, shared values and experiences to posit a possible solution/compromise/further research/next step forward for the topic.</li> </ol>			

<p><b>Style:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The author has a clear voice and style</li><li>2. Grammar and English usage are correct</li><li>3. Any creative license taken to break rules is used consciously and effectively</li></ol>			
<p><b>Deadlines/Participation:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Drafts submitted on time</li><li>2. Participated appropriately in peer review</li><li>4. All final draft submission requirements were met</li></ol>			